



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/751,094	01/02/2004	Sean William Tucker	10017979-3	1332
75	590 06/03/2005		EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			FERGUSON, MICHAEL P	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
		AKTONII	FAFER NOMBER	
Fort Collins, C	O 80527-2400		3679	
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/200.	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/751,094	TUCKER, SEAN WILLIAM					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Michael P. Ferguson	3679					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 A</u>	<u>pril 2005</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 January 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>04/20/04, 02/01/05</u> .	6) Other:	atont Αρμίσαθυπ (ΕΤΟ+132)	r				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ac	etion Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 052605					

Best Available Copy

Application/Control Number: 10/751,094

Art Unit: 3679

DETAILED ACTION

In view of the appeal brief filed on April 1, 2005, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new ground(s) of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
 - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 1 and 6 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/751,094

Art Unit: 3679

Claim 1 (line 4) recites "L" brackets, each of the "L" brackets". It should recite --L-brackets, each of the L-brackets--.

Claim 1 (line 6) recites "L" brackets, wherein the second legs of the "L" brackets". It should recite --L-brackets, wherein the second legs of the L-brackets--.

Claim 1 (line 8) recites "one of said "L" brackets". It should recite --one of said L-brackets--.

Claim 1 (line 9) recites "the other "L" bracket". It should recite --the other L-bracket--.

Claim 6 (line 2) recites "said "L" brackets". It should recite --said L-brackets--.

For the purpose of examining the application, it is assumed that appropriate correction has been made.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Carter (US 5,797,412).

As to claim 1, Carter discloses a mounting system for mounting a structure 22 to a support 22, comprising:

a plurality of rotatably positionable bracket assemblies **54,130**, each of the bracket assemblies including a pair of L-brackets **130** (having a L-shaped section

Application/Control Number: 10/751,094

Art Unit: 3679

extending from link **54**; Figure 16), each of the L-brackets having a first leg **128** and a perpendicular second leg **122,54**;

a rigid fastener **136** for removably joining together the first legs of the L-brackets, wherein the second legs of the L-brackets are separated by space; and

rotatable fasteners **67** (Figure 6) for rotatably fastening the second leg of one of the L-brackets to the structure and for rotatably fastening the second leg of the other L-bracket to the support (Figures 13, 14 and 16).

As to claim 2, Carter discloses a system wherein the rotatable fasteners comprise an assembly screw 67 and an insert 70 (Figure 6).

As to claim 3, Carter discloses a system wherein the insert **70** is a threaded insert and the assembly screw **67** screws into the threaded insert (Figure 6).

As to claim 4, Carter discloses a system wherein the rigid fasteners **136** comprise machine screws **136** (Figure 16).

As to claim 5, Carter discloses a system wherein the bracket assemblies have a common axis of rotation (bolt **136**; Figure 14).

As to claim 6, Carter discloses a system wherein one of the legs of one of the L-brackets **54,130** comprises an ear **54** for manual positioning of the mounting system (Figure 14).

As to claim 7, Carter discloses a system wherein the rigid fasteners **136** are adapted for connecting a first bracket assembly **54,130** to a second bracket assembly **54,130** in at least two positions along a rotational axis (bolt **136**; Figure 14).

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/751,094

Art Unit: 3679

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1-7 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,672,787. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the limitations of the application encompass the limitations of the patent. The limitations of claims 1-8 of the application are obviously met by claims 1-5 of the patent because it is obvious that the "L-brackets", the "rigid fastener" and the "rotatable fasteners" of instant claim 1 is encompassed by the "first and second perpendicular arm portions", the "rigid attachment" and rotatable attachment of the first and second mount brackets of patent claim 1.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments, filed April 1, 2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-7 under McIntyre (US 4,097,012) have been fully considered and are

Application/Control Number: 10/751,094

Art Unit: 3679

persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Carter (US 5,797,412).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to the applicant's disclosure. The following patents show the state of the art with respect to fastening systems:

Jungwirth et al. (US 6,213,673), Taylor (US 4,344,718), Adams, Jr. (US 4,035,092) and Ishikura et al. (US 5,558,405) are cited for pertaining to systems comprising first and second rotatable mount brackets.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael P. Ferguson whose telephone number is (571)272-7081. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (571)272-7087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3679

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MPF

05/25/05

DANIEL P. STODOLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600